

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

245

CRM-M-52623-2021

Date of Decision:06.01.2022

Sunita Devi

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of U.T. Chandigarh and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Amit Khari, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Simsi Dhir Malhotra, Addl. PP for UT. Chandigarh.

Mr. Himanshu Chhabra, Advocate for respondents No.4 & 5.

(Through Video Conferencing)

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel appearing for respondents No.4 & 5 submits that the matter be sent to the Mediation center of this Court.

However, at this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the concerned competent authority to decide the representation dated 05.08.2021 (Annexure P-1) in a time bound manner.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the facts and circumstances peculiar to this case, for the time being, purpose would suffice if the competent authority decide the aforesaid representation within two weeks from today.

Petition is partly allowed to the aforesaid extent. Liberty is reserved to the petitioner to file a fresh in case necessity arises.

Learned counsel appearing for UT Chandigarh, submits that the

correct address be also given by the accused.

At this stage, learned counsel for the private respondents submits that they will definitely give address of the respondents during course of the day, since the respondents want to compromise the matter.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

06.01.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No