

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-47633-2022
Date of decision : 17.10.2022

Bahader Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Ms.Sudeepti Sharma, Advocate and
Ms.Mehak Kanwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr.DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of directions to the official respondents to take appropriate action against the private respondents and to consider the representation dated 16.07.2021 and taken appropriate action thereon.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has given representation dated 16.07.2021 to respondent no.4 with respect to death of his son Kuldeep Singh and in spite of repeated representations, no action has been taken till date, in accordance with law. It is further submitted that the details of entire occurrence have been mentioned in the present petition and has stated that the petitioner would be satisfied in case the present petition is treated as a representation and respondent no.4 is directed to look into the averments made in the present petition and take appropriate action, in accordance with law.

Notice of motion to respondents no. 1 to 5 only.

On advance notice, Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr.DAG, Punjab, appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondents no.1 to 5 and has submitted that he is fully prepared to argue the matter and assist this Court. On a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent no.4-Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali, looks into the averments made in the present petition and takes appropriate action, in accordance with law.

Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.4-Senior Superintendent of Police, Mohali, to look into the averments made in the present petition and to take appropriate action, in accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that this Court has not gone into the merits of the allegations made by the petitioner and it would be open to respondent no.4 to make his independent enquiry/assessment with regard to the averments made in the present petition.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

October 17, 2022

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No