

**211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-51704-2021
Date of Decision: 18.05.2022**

Ramesh Bhatti

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, DAG, Punjab.

None for the complainant.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J.(ORAL)

1. The present petition pertains to the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.130, dated 23.05.2019 under Sections 170, 420 and 120-B IPC, 1860 (Sections 467, 468 and 471 IPC 1860 and Sections 65 and 66-D of Information Technology Act, 2005 added later on) registered at Police Station Sadar District Amritsar.

2. Learned State counsel on instruction has submitted that in the present petition, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation.

3. The present case was taken up with CRM-M-44750-2021 seeking quashing of FIR based upon the compromise. It is directed that the aforesaid CRM-M-44750-2021 is segregated from the present petition.

4. In view of the stand taken by the learned State counsel, the present petition is allowed. It is directed that in future also the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called by the concerned

Investigating Officer and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to furnishing of adequate bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called for. The petitioner shall also abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438 (2) of Cr.P.C.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

May 18, 2022
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No