

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9902-2022

Date of decision : 17.10.2022

Sumandeep Kaur and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present: Mr. Varun Issar, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr.DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Nikunj Dhawan, Advocate  
for respondent no.4.

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)**

The present Criminal Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is the case of the petitioners that they both are major inasmuch as petitioner no.1 was born on 12.03.2001 as is apparent from her Aadhar Card (Annexure P-1) and petitioner no.2 was born on 09.02.1996 as is apparent from his Aadhar Card (Annexure P-2), and have married each other on 07.10.2022, with their free consent and without any pressure and that this is their first marriage. Reliance has been placed upon the marriage certificate and photographs (Annexure P-3 and P-4). It is also stated by the learned counsel for the petitioners that a detailed representation dated 07.10.2022 (Annexure P-5) has also been given to respondent no.2 with regard to the same.

Notice of motion to respondent nos.1 to 3 only.

On advance notice, Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr.DAG, Punjab, appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 3 and on a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent no.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Bathinda, looks into the representation dated 07.10.2022 (Annexure P-5) and takes appropriate action, in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for respondent no.4 has submitted that respondent no.4 is against the marriage of petitioners and states that he wants to meet the petitioners.

After considering the above said facts and without commenting upon the legality of the marriage and expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the present Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent no.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Bathinda, to look into the representation dated 07.10.2022 (Annexure P-5) and after considering the threat perception to the petitioners, respondent no.2 will take appropriate action in accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioners, if involved in any other case.

It is made clear that passing of the present order in the present case would not come in the way of respondents no.4 and 5 to meet the petitioners in case the petitioners are willing to meet them.

**October 17, 2022.**

*Davinder Kumar*

Whether speaking/reasoned:-  
Whether reportable:-

**(VIKAS BAHL)**  
**JUDGE**

Yes/No  
Yes/No