

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(129)

CRM-M-54333-2022
Date of Decision: 23.11.2022

Gulam Rasul Bharti

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

--Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ.

Present:- Mr. S.S. Killianwali, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ.J (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under 482 Cr.P.C praying for issuance of directions to respondent 2 (D.G.P., Punjab) to get the enquiry of the representation dated 14.7.2022 (Annexure P-2) conducted by respondent no.3, who is already conducting the investigation of FIR No.91 of 2020 registered at Police Station, Goraya under sections 498-A, 323, 509 IPC lodged against respondent no.6.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondents no.6 and 7 are continuously threatening the petitioner. He submits that petitioner has filed representation dated 14.7.2022 (Annexure P-2) and the same may be got enquired by respondent no.3.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, D.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents no.1 to 5.

At the outset, learned State counsel submits that the similar petition i.e. CRM-M-29663-2022 was filed in this court and vide order dated 14.7.2022 the same was disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach respondent no.3 i.e. the IO for redressal of his grievances.

In view of the above position, it is apparent that this court has already issued direction vide order dated 14.7.2022 for redressal of grievances of the petitioner and hence this court does not find any merit in the present petition and the same is accordingly dismissed, however with liberty to the petitioner to pursue his remedies in accordance with law.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

23.11.2022

lucky	Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
	Whether Reportable:	Yes/No