

211

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.51972 of 2021 (O&M)
Decided on: 14.03.2022

Bharat Bhushan

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.662 dated 08.12.2020, registered under Sections 406, 420 IPC at Police Station Sadar Thanesar, District Kurukshetra.

The operative part of the order dated 14.12.2021, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR was registered on the complaint of one Geeta against Maneesh, Manager, Pearl Ford Agency, Raj Kumar Arora, Finance Head of Pearl Ford, Kurukshetra and Karnal, Manas and Bharat Bhusahn for usurping Rs. 2,98,500/- from the complainant.

Learned counsel further submits that as per allegations in the FIR, the complainant has gone to Ford Agency for the purchase of a vehicle and she met with the officials as detailed in the FIR, who demanded certain documents like Aadhar Card, PAN Card and photographs from her.

Learned counsel further submits that as per further allegations in the FIR, the complainant has entire dealing

with the officials of the Ford Company and later on, an amount of Rs. 2,98,500/- was transferred in the account of the petitioner, who has no concern or dealing either with the Ford Agency or complainant Geeta as there is no allegation in the FIR that at any point of time, the petitioner has any dealing with complainant or has met her prior to the incident and in fact it is the petitioner who has been cheated by co-accused Manas by way of a cheque as he has withdrawn the entire amount from the account of the petitioner by leaving a petty amount of Rs.5,000/- in his account. It is further submitted that as per FIR, the complainant had dealing with said Manas, who was one of the officials of Ford Agency.

Notice of motion for 13.03.2022....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 14.12.2021, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 14.12.2021 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

14.03.2022

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No