

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RFA-4333 of 2019 (O&M) and
other connected cases
Reserved on : 15.11.2022.
Date of decision:20.12.2022**

Ganga Ram and another

..Appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Gulshan Nandwani, Advocate
for the landowners.

Mr. Shivendra Swaroop, AAG, Haryana.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

1.1 While praying for modification of the award passed by the Reference Court (hereinafter referred to as 'the RC'), the landowners as well as the Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran (hereinafter referred to as 'the HSVP') have filed this batch of appeals (details whereof are at the foot of the judgment). Though, the acquired land is spread over two villages, however, the notification under Section 4, 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as 'the 1894 Act') are common. The awards passed by the Land Acquisition Collector (hereinafter referred to as 'the LAC') as well as the RC are separate, however, the amount of market value assessed is identical. The learned counsels representing the parties are ad-idem that this batch of appeals can conveniently be disposed of by a common order.

1.2 The relevant particulars of the acquisition, in brief, are as under:-

Sr.No.	Date	Particulars
1.	05.07.2012	Notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act was issued proposing to acquire 102 kanals 06 marlas 3 sarsai land in village Garhi Alawalpur and 10 kanals 6 marlas in village Malpura for development and utilization of land in construction of Sector roads between 18-19 and 18-24 Dahruhera.
2.	28.12.2012	Declaration under Section 6 of the 1894 Act was published.
3.	20.09.2013	Vide award no.107, the LAC declared that 102 kanals 06 marlas 3 sarsai land in village Garhi Alwalpur, whereas in village Malpura vide award dated 108, 10 kanals and 06 marlas land was acquired while offering to pay Rs.48,00,000/- per acre along with all statutory benefits.
4.	05.01.2019	The RC assessed the market value of the acquired land i.e. 10 kanals and 06 marlas located in village Malpura @ Rs.80,07,466/- per acre.
5.	18.03.2019	The RC assessed the market value of the acquired land in village Gari Alawalpur at the same rate i.e. Rs.80,07,466/- per acre while relying upon the award passed in the cases arising from village Malpura.

FACTS

1.3 Dissatisfied with the amount offered by the LAC for involuntary acquisition of the land, on the applications filed under Section 18 of the 1894 Act by the landowners, the cases were referred to the RC. While claiming that the acquired land has a great potential to be utilized for the commercial, residential and industrial purposes, the landowners claim that the amount offered by the LAC is insufficient. They claim that many factories/ institutions including the Jain Public School, Somany

Vidhyapeeth, Jungle Babler Tourist Complex, Paramount Public School and National Highway No.8 are located nearby. A number of Multi National Companies like Hero Honda Motors, Sehgal Paper Mills, Sony Electronics, G-Cane Industries, Amartex, Lumax, Delton, Appo Life, Hotels, Hospitals and Petrol Pumps are located nearby. The landowners claim that the market value of the acquired land was not less than Rs.2,00,000/- per sq. yards as the acquired land is located within the National Capital Region.

1.4 While contesting, the HSVP Nigam has claimed that the LAC has offered the reasonable and adequate amount.

2. **EVIDENCE PRODUCED BY THE RESPECTIVE PARTIES:-**

2.1 In the cases arising from village Alawalpur, in oral evidence, the landowners examined the following witnesses (RFA-4333-2019):-

PW1	Pratap Singh
PW2	Phool Singh
PW3	Udai Singh, Sr. Draftsman, DTP Office, Rewari
PW4	Sunil Kumar, Registration Clerk
PW5	Mangal Ram, Director and authorised representative of Shivkrishna Kirpa Logistic Pvt. Ltd.

2.2 In the documentary evidence, the following documents were produced by the landowners apart from the sale deeds, a tabulated compilation whereof is given in para 4.2 of the judgment:-

Ex.PW4/A	Layout Plan
Ex.PW4/13	Layout Plan
Ex.PW6/1	Resolution dated 01.08.2018
Ex.PW6/7	Layout Plan

2.3 On the other hand, in the oral evidence, HSVP Nigam examined RW1-Sher Singh Patwari, LAC, Urban Estate, Gurugram.

2.4 In the documentary evidence, the following documentary evidence was produced by the HSVP Nigam:-

Ex.R4	Aks-Sajra
-------	-----------

2.5 In the rebuttal evidence, the landowners produced the certified copies of the following documents (RFA-4333-2019):-

Ex.P1 to Ex.P4	Sale deeds
Ex.P5	Copy of the judgment passed in LA case titled as Ashwani Kumar Jain vs. State of Haryana etc.
Ex.P6 to Ex.P10	Sale deeds
Ex.PW1/B	A copy of the Jamabandi

2.6 In the cases arising from acquired land in village Malpura, in the oral evidence, the landowners examined the following witnesses(RFA-369-2020):-

PW1/A	Manpreet Singh
PW2/A	Kishan Lal

2.7 In the documentary evidence, the following documents were produced by the landowners apart from the sale deeds, a tabulated compilation whereof is given in Para 4.2 of the judgment:-

Ex.PW1/A	Affidavit of Phool Singh
Ex.PW2/A	Affidavit of Partap Singh
Ex.PW6/A	Affidavit of Manget Ram

2.8 The HSVP Nigam produced the following documentary evidence apart from the sale deeds, a tabulated compilation whereof is given in Para 4.2 of the judgment:-

Ex.R4	Topographical Survey
-------	----------------------

3. **ANALYSIS OF THE REASONS RECORDED BY THE RC**

3.1 The cases of village Malpura were decided by the RC first in point in time. The Court after noticing that sale deed No.38 (Ex.PW1/C), is post the date of notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act held that it is liable to be ignored. The RC has also held that the sale deed Ex.PW2/B is also liable to be ignored being post the date of notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act. The sale deeds relied upon by the HSVP Nigam were excluded from the consideration while wrongly interpreting Section 25 of the 1894 Act. Thereafter, the RC held that the RC's previous award Ex.P1 is a safe determining factor to assess the market value of the acquired land. The Reference Court after increasing the amount @ 9% per annum to cover the gap period of 2 years 1 month and 22 days, assessed the market value of the acquired land as on 05.07.2012 @ Rs.87, 07, 466/- per acre. In the cases arising from village Alawalpur, the RC held that the sale instances produced by the landowners cannot be relied upon as the sale instances are not the comparable parcels of land with the acquired land. Thereafter, the RC relied upon its previous award Ex.P5 with respect to village Malpura. The RC, thus, followed its own judgment.

4. **Discussion and analysis of the arguments of the learned counsels representing the parties:-**

4.1 This bench has heard the learned counsels representing the parties at length and with their able assistance perused the paper books along with the requisitioned record in both the batches of appeals.

4.2 At this stage, it will be appropriate to produce the compilation
of the sale deeds produced by the respective parties:-

Sale Deeds produced by the respective parties

Sr. No.	Exhibits	Sale deed No.	Date	Total Area	Sale consideration Rs.	Price per acre Rs.	Village
Sale deeds produced by the landowners							
1.	Ex.PW5/1 Ex.PW6/3	1810	05.09.2011	14K-11M	2,78,26,875/-	1,53,00,000/-	Ghari Alawalpur
2.	Ex.PW5/2	1969	09.01.2013	29K-14M	7,88,37,500/-	2,12,35,690/-	Ghari Alawalpur
3.	Ex.PW5/3	1970	09.01.2013	29K-14M	7,88,37,500/-	2,12,35,690/-	Ghari Alawalpur
4.	Ex.PW5/4	1958	09.01.2013	1K-19M	32,91,000/-	1,35,01,538/-	Ghari Alawalpur
5.	Ex.PW5/5	1959	09.01.2013	1K-19M	32,91,000/-	1,35,01,538/-	Ghari Alawalpur
6.	Ex.PW5/6	1962	09.01.2013	3K-18M	65,81,500/-	1,35,00,513/-	Ghari Alawalpur
7.	Ex.PW5/7	2028	22.01.2013	1K-19M	32,91,000/-	1,35,01,538/-	Ghari Alawalpur
8.	Ex.PW5/8	2137	11.02.2013	31K-1M	7,18,03,125/-	1,85,00,000/-	Ghari Alawalpur
9.	Ex.PW5/9	2138	11.02.2013	25K-16M	5,96,62,500/-	1,85,00,000/-	Ghari Alawalpur
10.	Ex.PW5/10	2139	11.02.2013	37K-17M	8,75,28,125/-	1,85,00,000/-	Ghari Alawalpur
11.	Ex.PW5/11	2145	12.02.2013	31K-1M	7,18,03,125/-	1,85,00,000/-	Ghari Alawalpur
12.	Ex.PW5/12	187	02.05.2012	OK-5M House	10,00,000/-	3,22,66,667/-	Maheshwari
13.	Ex.PW5/13	190	02.05.2012	0K-5.5M 165 Sq.Yd. House	12,00,000/-	3,52,00,000/-	Maheshwari
14.	Ex.PW5/14	327	22.05.2012	0K-7M	20,30,000/-	4,64,00,000/-	Maheshwari
15.	Ex.PW5/15	490	15.06.2012	0K-3.5M plot	8,62,500/-	3,94,28,57/-	Maheshwari
16.	Ex.PW5/16	649	09.07.2012	0K-19M plot	23,60,000/-	1,98,73,684/-	Maheshwari
17.	Ex.PW6/2	1714	12.08.2011	4K-4M	52,75,000/-	1,00,47,619/-	Ghari Alawalpur
18.	Ex.PW6/4	252	10.05.2012	4K-8.8M	55,54,000/-	1,00,07,207/-	Ghari Alawalpur
19.	Ex.PW6/5	246	10.05.2012	4K-15M 1502M	59,47,500/-	99,95,798/-	Ghari Alawalpur
20.	Ex.PW6/6	1170	25.09.2012	9K-4M	1,26,50,000/-	1,10,00,000/-	Ghari Alawalpur
21.	Ex.P1	515	26.05.2006	28K-13M	3,40,21,875/-	95,00,000/-	Ghari Alawalpur
22.	Ex.P2	516	26.05.2006	15K-16M	1,87,62,500/-	95,00,000/-	Ghari Alawalpur
23.	Ex.P3	672	08.06.2006	2K-16.5M	33,54,700/-	95,00,035/-	Ghari Alawalpur

24.	Ex.P4	554	29.05.2006	25K-8M	3,01,62,500/-	95,00,000/-	Ghari Alawalpur
25.	Ex.P6	2551	12.01.2012	8K-0M	5,00,00,000/-	5,00,00,000/-	Maheshwari
26.	Ex.P7	525	26.05.2006	8K-3M	96,78,125/-	95,00,000/-	Ghari Alawalpur
27.	Ex.P8	556	29.05.2006	4K-4M	49,87,500/-	95,00,000/-	Ghari Alawalpur
28.	Ex.P9	1045	12.07.2006	40K-0M	4,95,00,000/-	9,90,00,000/-	Ghari Alawalpur
29.	Ex.P10	2663	08.01.2007	92K-7M	16,16,12,500/-	1,40,00,000/-	Ghari Alawalpur
Sale deeds produced by the State							
31.	Ex.R1	1938	12.10.2011	9K-7M	24,00,000/-	20,53,476/-	Ghari Alawalpur
32.	Ex.R2	3052	29.03.2012	2Acre	40,00,000/-	20,00,000/-	Ghari Alawalpur
33.	Ex.R3	2095	21.11.2011	40K-4M	1,00,50,000/-	20,00,000/-	Ghari Alawalpur

Sale deeds produced by the landowners							
Sr. No.	Exhibits	Sale deed No.	dated	Area	Sale consideration Rs.	Price per Acre Rs.	Village
1.	Ex.PW1/C	38	08.04.2015	1010.15y/ 1K-13M constructed building	1,14,40,000/-	5,48,13,246/-	Malpura
2.	Ex.PW2/B	2156	18.02.2013	2K-2M-3S	1,00,00,000	3,77,95,374/-	Malpura
Sale deed produced by the State							
3.	Ex.R-5	1669	03.12.2012	11K-19M	59,75,000/-	40,00,000/-	Malpura

4.3 On the one hand, the learned counsel representing the landowners submits that in HSI IDC vs. Sultan Singh and others (RFA No.1350 of 2019), the High Court has assessed the market value of the acquired land in village Malpura @ Rs.1,21,33, 320/- per acre. The landowners should be awarded the same amount.

4.4 On the other hand, the learned counsel representing the HSVP Nigam contends that the RC has erred in ignoring the HSVP Nigam's sale deeds from consideration, while incorrectly interpreting Section 25 of the 1894 Act. While drawing the attention to the RC's award with respect to village Alawalpur, the learned counsel contends that the sale deed Ex.PW6/2 (bearing no.1714) has been excluded from consideration as the said sale

instance was 10-11 months prior to the date of notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act.

4.5 This Court has analyzed the arguments of the learned counsels representing the parties and with their able assistance studied the requisitioned record. At the outset, it is important to note that the RC has committed grave illegality while wrongly interpreting Section 25 of the 1894 Act, which is extracted as under:-

“25. Amount of compensation awarded by Court not to be lower than the amount awarded by the Collector- Amount of compensation awarded by Court not to be lower than the amount awarded by the Collector:- The amount of compensation awarded by the Court shall not be less than the amount awarded by the Collector under section 11.”

4.6 On a careful reading of the aforesaid provision, it is evident that there is no prohibition/bar in taking into account the copies of the various sale deeds produced in evidence even if the price reflected in the said sale deeds is lower than the amount offered by the LAC. This issue is no longer res integra in view of the judgment passed in **Lal Chand vs. Union of India and another (2009) 5 SCC 769.**

4.7 The RC has also committed an error in excluding the sale deeds produced by the landowners in evidence on the ground that these sale instances are 10-11 months prior to the date of preliminary notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act.

4.8 While assessing the market value of the acquired land, the court does not grant a decree of declaration that the amount assessed is the market value of the acquired land. The Court is expected to decide the cases on the

basis of the appreciation of evidence. Hence, such assessment is based on the quality of evidence produced for the appreciation. In these circumstances, the previous assessment of the market value of a different acquisition should not be preferred over and above the sale deeds which are expected to be mirror of the market value in the particular area in normal circumstances. Moreover, ordinarily the market value of the different parcels of land in a particular village is not expected to be uniform. The market value of the acquired land depends upon the various factors which include its geographical location, the quality of land, its location, nearby existence of the residential area or commercial area or the industrial area nearby or the land abutting main road. Hence, it would not be appropriate to rely upon the assessment made by the RC while assessing the market value of the land which was located on the Delhi-Jaipur Highway. On a careful study of the judgment passed in **Sultan Singh's case (supra)**, it is evident that the acquired land in the aforesaid case was located on the Delhi-Jaipur Highway and was being used for the commercial purposes.

4.9 On a careful perusal of the notification under Section 4 and 6 of the 1894 Act, it is evident that the acquired land in village Gari Alawalpur is comprised in Rect. No.2,4,5,7,8, 15 and 16, whereas in village Malpura, the acquired land is out of the land comprised in Rect. No.23.

4.10 In the cases arising from village Alawalpur, the landowners as well as the Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran Nigam Ltd. (hereinafter referred to as 'the HSVPNL) have produced the layout plans. On a careful perusal of the tabulated compilation of the sale deeds, it is evident that the sale instances Ex.PW5/2 to PW5/11 and PW6/6 are with respect to the

period post the date of notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act, dated 05.07.2012. The sale deeds Ex.PW5/12, PW5/13, PW5/14, PW5/15, PW5//16 are with respect to the significantly small parcels of land. Sale instance Ex.P/6 (bearing no.2551 dated 12.01.2012) is with respect to the land located in village Maheshwari. The sale instances Ex.P1 to Ex.P4 and Ex.P/7 to Ex.P10 are with respect to the period, which is approximately 5 to 6 years before 05.07.2012. Hence, the RC has correctly held that such sale deeds are not pertaining to the contemporaneous period as per the requirement. On a careful perusal of the notification under Section 4 and 6 of the 1894 Act and the layout plan Ex.R/4, it is evident that the parcel of land sold through Ex.R2, sale deed bearing No.3052 (dated 29.03.2012) and Ex.R3, sale deed bearing no.2095 (dated 21.11.2011) are with respect to the parcels of land abutting the acquired land. As already noticed, some part of the acquired land in village Alawalpur is from the land comprised in Rect. no.5. The sale deeds Ex.R/2 and Ex.R/3 are with respect to some part of the land comprised in Rect. No.5. On perusal of the layout plan Ex.R1, it is evident that the parcels of land sold through the aforesaid two sale deeds Ex.R/2 and Ex.R/3 are with respect to the land abutting the acquired land. Whereas, the sale deed Ex.PW5/1 (bearing no.1890) is with respect to the parcel of land comprised in Rect. No.33, which is far away from the acquired land. Similarly, the sale deeds Ex.PW6/2 (bearing no.1714) is with respect to the land comprised in Rect. No.37, whereas in the sale deed Ex.PW6/3 (bearing no.1810), the parcel of land sold is out of Rect. No.33. Similarly, Ex.PW6/4 (bearing no.252) is with respect to the parcels of land located in Rect. No.10 and 13, whereas in Ex.PW6/5 (bearing no.246),

relates to the sale of the land comprised in Rect. No.13 which is also the subject matter of acquisition. On careful perusal of Ex.R/4, the layout plan, it is evident that the aforesaid parcels of land are located at a sufficient distance from the acquired land. Hence, the sale instances Ex.R/2 and Ex.R/3 are not only abutting the acquired land but are also the comparable parcels of land during the contemporaneous period. The sale deed No.3052 (dated 29.03.2012) (Ex.R/2) is 3½ months before the date of notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act. The per acre price of the sale deeds Ex.R/2 and Ex.R/3 is Rs. 20,00,000/- per acre. Whereas, the Land Acquisition Collector has already paid Rs.48,00,000/- as the market value apart from the other statutory benefits.

4.11 In village Malpura, the acquired land is comprised in Rect. No.23. In terms of the agricultural land, the unit 'Rectangle' denotes 25 acres of compact rectangular parcel of land. On a careful perusal of the sale deeds produced by the landowners in village Malpura, it is evident that the sale deed bearing no.38, Ex.PW1/C is post the date of notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act and is also with respect to a constructed residential house. The sale instance Ex.PW2/B bearing no.2156 is with respect to the land comprised in Rect. No.56, whereas the acquired land in village Malpura is in Rect. No.23. Hence, sale deed bearing no.2156 (Ex.PW2/B) is not comparable to the acquired land. The HSVP Nigam has produced sale deed bearing No.1669 (Ex.R/5) with respect to 11 Kanals and 19 Marlas of land. The sale deed indicates that the price of the agricultural land was around Rs.40,00,000/- per acre.

4.12 On a careful reading of the award with respect to the acquired

land in village Malpura, it is evident that the RC has relied upon Ex.P1/C, the RC's award in **Sultan Singh vs. State of Haryana**, dated 21.12.2018. This judgment pertains to the assessment of the market value of the acquired land in village Malpura vide the preliminary notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act, dated 13.05.2010. It may be noted here that in **HSIIDC vs. Sultan Singh (RFA No.1350 of 2019)**, this Court has held that the market value of the acquired land in village Malpura with respect to the preliminary notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act dated 13.05.2010 is @ Rs.1,21,33,320/- per acre. However, the aforesaid parcel of acquired land is located on the National Highway Delhi-Jaipur Road. There is a marked difference in the location of the acquired land vide preliminary notification dated 13.05.2010 and 07.07.2012. It is evident from examining the Ex.R/4 that the acquired land is in the "L" shape. However, the aforesaid parcel of land is not abutting or touching the National Highway Delhi-Jaipur road. From the reading of the notification under Section 4 of the 1894 Act, it is evident that the land has been acquired for the construction of the internal sector dividing road.

4.12 The landowners are required to prove that the amount offered by the Land Acquisition Collector is inadequate and suffers from error in the appreciation of evidence. In the absence thereof, the claim petitions filed by the landowners cannot be allowed.

5. DECISION

5.1 Consequently, the RC's awards passed on 05.01.2019 and 18.03.2019 are set aside. The appeals filed by the HSVPNL/State of Haryana shall stand allowed, whereas that of the landowners shall stand

dismissed.

5.2 All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also
disposed of.

20th December , 2022
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No

Sr. No.	Case No.	Parties Details
1.	RFA-4333-2019	GANGA RAM AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
2.	RFA-108-2020	KARTAR SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
3.	RFA-134-2020	M/S SUKAM CRAFTS LTD THROUGH ITS DIRECTOR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS
4.	RFA-1553-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS V/S MANMOHAN SINGH AND ORS
5.	RFA-1570-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S MANPREET SINGH AND ANOTHER
6.	RFA-1580-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S KISHAN LAL AND ANOTHER
7.	RFA-1584-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S RAMBILAS GOEL AND ANOTHER
8.	RFA-1592-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SURAJ KUMAR @ SARJU AND ANOTHER
9.	RFA-1600-2021	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S ASHWANI KUMAR JAIN AND ANOTHER
10.	RFA-369-2020	ASHWANI KUMAR JAIN V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS
11.	RFA-391-2020	BAL RAM AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
12.	RFA-392-2020	HARI RAM AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
13.	RFA-393-2020	ROHTASH V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
14.	RFA-403-2020	JAGBIR AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

15.	RFA-404-2020	HARI RAM AND ANOTHER V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
16.	RFA-406-2020	SUKHBIR AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
17.	RFA-437-2020	KARAN SINGH AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
18.	RFA-438-2020	RATTI RAM AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
19.	RFA-440-2020	SUSHILA AND OTHERS V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
20.	RFA-836-2022	STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS V/S GYANI RAM AND OTHERS
21.	RFA-837-2022	STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS V/S MANMOHAN SINGH AND OTHERS
22.	RFA-838-2022	STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS V/S HARI RAM AND OTHERS
23.	RFA-839-2022	STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS V/S M/S M.G. PLOTTERS PVT LTD. AND ANOTHER
24.	RFA-840-2022	STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS V/S SMT. SUSHILA AND OTHERS
25.	RFA-841-2022	STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS V/S HARI RAM AND OTHERS
26.	RFA-842-2022	STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS V/S JAGBIR AND OTHERS
27.	RFA-843-2022	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SHIV KRISHNA KIRPA LOGISTICS PVT. LTD. AND ANR.
28.	RFA-844-2022	STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS V/S ROHTASH SINGH AND ANOTHER
29.	RFA-845-2022	STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS V/S SUKHBIR AND ORS
30.	RFA-846-2022	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S SMT. NEELIMA @ NEELAMA AND OTHERS
31.	RFA-847-2022	STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS V/S RATTI RAM AND OTHERS
32.	RFA-848-2022	STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS V/S BAL RAM AND OTHERS
33.	RFA-849-2022	STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS V/S KARAN SINGH AND OTHERS
34.	RFA-850-2022	STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS V/S KARTAR SINGH AND OTHERS

35.	RFA-851-2022	STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS V/S GANGA RAM AND OTHERS
36.	RFA-852-2022	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S PHOOLWATI AND OTHERS
37.	RFA-853-2022	STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER V/S M/S SUKAM CRAFTS LTD. THR. ITS DIRECTOR AND ANOTHER
38.	RFA-1407-2019	MANPREET SINGH V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
39.	RFA-1408-2019	SURAJ KUMAR @ SARJU V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
40.	RFA-1626-2019	KISHAN LAL V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
41.	RFA-3011-2019	SHIV KRISHAN KIRPA LOGISTICS PVT LTD THR. ITS AUTHORISED REPRESENTATIVE V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS
42.	RFA-3052-2019	RAMBILAS GOEL V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS
43.	RFA-3663-2019	SMT. NEELIMA @ NEELAMA AND ANR V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE