

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

201

CRM-M-51392-2021

Date of Decision: 24.03.2022

Anshu Devi and another

Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Ajit Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners
Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Bhupinder Malik, Advocate for the complainant.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
460	09.11.2021	Israna, District Panipat	148, 149, 323, 506, 34 IPC and Section 3 of SC/ST Act, 1989

Criminal Case no. before trial Court	Bail application Nos.464/465 of 2021 CIS Nos.BA-3068/3069 of 2021 Date of order:24.11.2021
--------------------------------------	--

The petitioners have come up before this Court under Section 438 read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail.

- The petitioners had filed a bail application before the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Panipat, which was dismissed on 24.11.2021
- Learned State counsel has opposed the petition on the ground that the same is not maintainable.
- As per Section 14 A of the SC/ST Act, an appeal is appropriate remedy against the order of rejection of bail. There is no reason to bypass the statutory remedy. Thus, present petition is not maintainable.
- Confronted with this learned counsel for the petitioners wants to withdraw the petition with the liberty to file fresh one and also seek interim protection till the filing of such petition.
- The prayer is innocuous.
- Given above, the present petition is disposed as withdrawn with the liberty to file an appeal under Section 14A of SC/ST Act.
- There is stay of arrest of the petitioners for 14 days enable them to avail appropriate legal remedy.
- It is clarified that the interim protection shall vacate automatically after the expiry of period of 14 days.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

24.03.2022

SONIA ARORA
2022.03.25 15:40
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes / No
No.