

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.133

**Civil Writ Petition No.23757 of 2022
Date of Decision : October 17, 2022**

Yogesh Dutt Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Sanyam Khetarpal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner joined the LL.M course with respondent No.2- University in the year 2012. The course came to an end in 2014. Allegedly, the petitioner was provided his marksheet only in the year 2019. Thereafter, he applied for improvement of his performance but the same has been rejected vide order dated 16.03.2020 on the ground that maximum period of five years prescribed for improvement has elapsed. Thus, the present writ petition has been filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that detailed marksheet of the petitioner is dated 11.11.2019. Thus, delay was on the part of the University and the petitioner cannot be penalized for the same.

A perusal of the record shows that the LL.M part-II marksheet is dated 26.09.2014 whereas the LL.M previous marksheet is dated 07.04.2015. The said marksheets having been issued soon after the examination was taken shows that marksheets were being issued regularly in the normal course. Thus, the submission that final marksheet was issued only in the year 2019 does not inspire confidence. It appears to be a case

where the petitioner did not exhibit any urgency and did not make requisite efforts for obtaining the marksheet earlier. Statutory period prescribed for availing the improvement chance has since expired. If at all, the petitioner is interested in improving his score he can avail of special mercy chance as has been stated in the impugned letter. No relief can be granted to the petitioner by the Court.

The writ petition has no merit and is dismissed.

October 17, 2022

Ankur

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No