

CRM-M-47178-2022 (O&M) and  
CRM-M-51158-2022 (O&M)

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-47178-2022 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 12.12.2022

(I)

**Parveen Sisodiya**

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

**State of Haryana**

.....Respondent(s)

CRM-M-51158-2022 (O&M)

(II)

**Sachin @ Saurabh**

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

**State of Haryana**

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI**

Present: Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate,  
for the petitioner in CRM-M-47178-2022.

Mr. Rohit Mittal, Advocate,  
for the petitioner in CRM-M-51158-2022.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)**

Both petitions are taken up together for final disposal with the consent of learned counsel for the parties since the prayer in both the petitions pertain to the grant of regular bail and they arise out of the same FIR.

Both petitions have been filed under Section 439 of the Code of

Criminal Procedure for the grant of regular bail to the petitioners in FIR No. 12 dated 05.01.2020, under Sections 420, 406, 506 IPC, registered at Police Station City Mahendergarh, District Mahendergarh.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner Parveen Sisodiya in CRM-M-47178-2022 that the petitioner is in custody from 23.08.2022 and learned counsel for the petitioner Sachin @ Saurabh in CRM-M-51158-2022 has submitted that the petitioner is in custody from 03.08.2022. both the learned counsels for the petitioners have submitted that the investigation of the case has been completed and thereafter, the challan has been presented before the competent Court under Section 173 Cr.P.C and thereafter even charges have been framed. They submitted that the present is a case which is triable by Magistrate and the petitioners are not habitual offenders and are not involved in any other case. They further submitted that the allegations are pertaining to defrauding some persons of total amount of Rs. 1,20,000/- but the allegations are false because it was a personal vendetta and therefore, the petitioners may be considered for the grant of regular bail.

On the other hand, Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana has submitted that it is correct that the petitioner Parveen Sisodiya is in custody from 23.08.2022 and petitioner Sachin @ Saurabh is in custody from 03.08.2022 and in the present case after the completion of the investigation now the charges have been framed and the present case is triable by Magistrate and it is also correct that the petitioners are not habitual offenders and are not involved in any other case.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner Parveen Sisodiya is in custody from 23.08.2022 and petitioner Sachin @ Saurabh is in custody from 03.08.2022. The

present is a case which is triable by Magistrate and the petitioners are not habitual offenders as per the learned counsel for the parties. The investigation of the case has been completed and even otherwise also the total subject matter of the present case is only Rs. 1,20,000/-.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court deems it fit and proper to grant regular bail to the petitioners.

Consequently, both the petitions are allowed. Both the petitioners shall be released on regular bail subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on merits of the case and is meant for the purpose of deciding the present petitions only.

12.12.2022

rakesh

Whether speaking  
Whether reportable

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)  
JUDGE

: Yes/No  
: Yes/No