

117
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.23399 of 2022
Date of Decision:12.10.2022

Saroj Kumari

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, A.A.G, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there are certain stations, which have been kept vacant and in case, the same are opened, claim of the petitioner be considered by the respondents.

Learned State counsel submits that in case the stations, which have been kept vacant, are to be allotted, due opportunity will be given to the petitioner keeping in view the transfer policy.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition and the same may be disposed of having not been pressed.

Ordered accordingly.

12.10.2022
monika

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
2. Whether reportable: Yes/No