

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.203

CRM-M-51734 of 2021

Date of Decision: 18.02.2022

Satvinder Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present: Mr. Anshumaan Dalal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amreek Singh Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

SANT PARKASH, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.342 dated 04.10.2021, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC at Police Station Arya Nagar, Rohtak, District Rohtak.

It is contended that the present petitioner was not at all responsible for checking the particulars of the forms submitted for the registration of the vehicles. While working as a Registration Clerk, the primary duty of the petitioner was to mark the tax on the file after calculating as per invoice of the vehicle. The petitioner was not at all required to see the correctness or genuineness of the particulars mentioned in the forms.

On the other hand, learned State counsel would submit that the present petitioner along with other co-accused was involved in a criminal conspiracy and got prepared registration certificates on the basis of fake documents and addresses. Reply has also been filed on behalf of the respondent-State, stating the aforesaid fact and the same is taken on record.

After considering the rival contentions of the parties and in view of the role of the petitioner in the entire process of issuance of the registration certificates, there appears to be justification for extending the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the instant petition stands allowed.

However, in the event of arrest, petitioner shall be released on pre-arrest bail at the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer subject to the following conditions, as envisaged under section 438(2) Cr.P.C:-

- (i) *that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- (ii) *that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- (iii) *that the petitioner shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.*

**(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE**

18.02.2022
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No