

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
CRWP No 9792 of 2022
Date of Decision: 14th October, 2022**

Nikhil and another

Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Amandeep, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This petition is filed seeking directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents (arrayed in the petition), who are living in live-in relationship.

Learned counsel for the State appearing on advance notice on instructions submits that on receiving the representation, efforts were made to contact the petitioners, their phones were switched off. He further submits that they were neither physically available nor approached the police authorities.

In view of the statement of counsel for the State, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the present petition and seeks liberty that the petitioners will approach the police authorities.

Dismissed as not pressed.

There is no doubt that in eventuality of petitioners approaching the police authorities, the needful in accordance with law would be done expeditiously.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

14th October, 2022

mk

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No