

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

262

CRM-M-52542-2021

Date of Decision: 28.04.2022

SONU YADAV AND ANR

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Dinesh Sharma Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

None for the respondent No.2.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The present petition had been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking quashing of FIR No.310, dated 20.10.2021 under Section 379-B, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Focal Point, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 01.12.2021 (Annexure P-2).

Vide order dated 16.12.2021, the parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate to get their statements recorded in support of the alleged compromised. However, the parties did not appear before the Court of Illaqa Magistrate and on the next date, prayed for grant of some more time to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate and accordingly, the prayer was accepted by this Court and vide order dated 25.02.2022, the parties were again directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate on 28.03.2022 to get their statements recorded qua compromise. But even on

28.03.2022, the parties failed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate to get their statements recorded. A report vide letter bearing endorsement No.305 dated 24.04.2022 has been received from the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ludhiana as per which the parties have chosen not to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate to get their statements record. It is, thus, clear that the alleged compromise between the parties has not be sanctified.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he does not press the instant petition at this stage and he be given liberty to approach this Court at an appropriate stage, if required.

Dismissed as not pressed, with the liberty as aforesaid.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

28.04.2022
rajender

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No