

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

105

**CR-5966 of 2019(O&M)
Date of decision:10.03.2022**

Dr. Satpal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Bhim Sain Dawrha

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

**Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate, and
Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

**Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Advocate
for the respondent.**

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

The hearing of the case is being held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

The defendant in a suit for recovery is the petitioner in the present revision petition.

The learned trial court after considering various aspects of the matter has in exercise of its discretion permitted the plaintiff (respondent herein) to examine handwriting and fingerprint expert in additional evidence in order to compare document Ex.P5/AM. This is an important document for the decision of the case.

The learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that the application for permission to lead additional evidence had been allowed after the parties had closed their evidence.

An application for additional evidence can be filed only after the parties have been granted an opportunity to lead evidence. In the present case, the trial Court has exercised a proper discretion which does not require

any interference in exercise of jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

March 10, 2022
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No