

202

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-51722-2021

Date of decision:14.02.2022

DHARMENDER SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present: Mr. N.S. Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pradeep Prakash Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

(Through Video Conferencing)

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. In FIR No.424 of 23.10.2021, offences constituted under Section 188 of IPC, 1860 and under Sections 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Regulation of Development Act, 1957), are embodied. FIR (supra), is registered at Police Station Kanina, District Mahendergarh.

2. The learned State counsel on instructions meted to him, by SI Narender Singh submits, that the bail petitioner has fully co-operated with the investigations, as underway into the FIR (supra), and, also submits, that his further participation, and, co-operation to the investigating officer is not required.

3. The learned State counsel submits, that in view of the above, there may not be any necessity for subjecting the bail petitioner to custodial interrogation. The above submission is well merited, as any order subjecting the bail petitioner to custodial interrogation, despite his meteing the fullest co-

operation to the investigating officer concerned, would curtail, and, fetter his personal liberty.

4. In summa the order made, as by this Court, on 13.12.2021, is made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

14.02.2022

ithlesh

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No