

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

(118)

CRWP-9755-2022

Date of decision: - 14.10.2022

Sinderpal Kaur and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Narinder Kumar Awasthi, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a criminal writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ, order or direction specially in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in the present case, the petitioners have given a representation dated 26.09.2022 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2, in which, they have primarily made two prayers. The first prayer is with respect to taking action against the private respondents and regarding the same, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that he does not wish to press the said prayer made in the above-said representation and would seek an alternative

remedy in accordance with law in order to pursue the same. The second prayer, which has been made in the present petition, is for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners and regarding the same, the facts have been given in the said representation, would show that there is a reasonable apprehension to the life and liberty of the petitioners. It is submitted that the petitioners will be satisfied in case the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the representation dated 26.09.2022 (P-3) only on the limited aspect of threat to life of the petitioners and after considering the threat perception, take appropriate action thereon.

Notice of motion to the respondents.

On advance notice, Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and on a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent No.2-The Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, looks into the representation (Annexure P-3) with a limited prayer for only protection of life and liberty of the petitioners and takes appropriate action, in accordance with law.

After considering the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it appropriate to direct respondent No.2-The Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur to look into the representation dated 26.09.2022 (Annexure P-3) with a limited prayer for only protection of life and liberty of the petitioners and after making necessary enquiries, respondent No.2 shall take appropriate action, in accordance with law.

In view of what has been observed above, the present criminal writ petition is disposed of in the abovesaid terms.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioners, if involved in any other case.

October 14, 2022  
naresh.k

( VIKAS BAHL )  
JUDGE

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether reasoned/speaking? | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |