

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(135)

CWP-23721-2022

Date of Decision : October 17, 2022

Bansi Lal

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Balraj Singh Dhull, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though while declaring the revised result, the Commission has mentioned that the same has been done on the basis of the recommendation of the report of the Expert Panel but nothing has been provided so as to ascertain whether, the claim of the petitioner has been considered in the correct perspective or not.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that even the revised answer key has not been made public so as to know which objection of the petitioner stands accepted and which stands rejected, hence, the transparency, which is needed to execute these things, is missing, which is the grievance of the petitioner in the present petition.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana,

who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the petitioner has any grievance, the same should be addressed to the Commission by way of filing a representation and in case any such representation is moved, the same will be decided in accordance with law within a period of two weeks of the receipt of the same by passing appropriate speaking order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the said statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further, at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondents by filing appropriate representation.

Ordered accordingly.

October 17, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No