

104+213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-51807-2021 (O&M)
Decided on: 10.01.2022

Kamaljit

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. J.S. Bajwa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Sitta, Assistant AG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

1. learned counsel for the petitioner by making reference to para 4 of the petition, submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further argued on instructions from the petitioner that based on the recording between the SHO and brother of the petitioner, the inquiry has been initiated in which SHO has been suspended.

2. The State has nothing to refute these allegations at this stage. Moreover, the recovery i.e. 31 grams of heroin which is a small quantity and 15 injections of buprenorphine (2 ml each), was not from the petitioner but it was from his wife.

3. Thus, in the entirety of facts and circumstances peculiar to this case, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 13.12.2021, is made absolute. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

10.01.2022
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

~~Yes/No~~
~~Yes/No~~