

CRM-M-48217-2022

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-48217-2022

Date of decision: 21.10.2022

Amandeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Amandeep Gulati, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Kohli, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, AAG Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.146 dated 30.03.2022, registered at Police Station City Mandi Dabwali, District Sirsa, under Sections 304 and 328 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that initially, as many as six accused were arraigned in the present case; that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and rather has been indicted on the disclosure statement of co-accused, Amritpal Singh, who has since been released on regular bail by this Court, vide order dated 24.08.2022; that co-accused, namely, Raja Singh, Beant Kaur and Bhupinder Singh @ Bhinda have since been granted the concession of anticipatory bail, and that the petitioner has been in custody since 03.07.2022. So far as another case registered against the petitioner, under the NDPS Act, is concerned, he was discharged therein.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, while opposing the grant of bail to the petitioner, submits that the petitioner and the co-accused

CRM-M-48217-2022

had supplied intoxicating material to the son of the complainant, who had died due to overdose of the same, and that recovery of 05 empty syringes had also been effected from the petitioner.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner was not named in the FIR and rather has been indicted on the disclosure statement of co-accused, Amritpal Singh, who has been released on regular bail. Moreover, co-accused, namely, Raja Singh, Beant Kaur and Bhupinder Singh @ Bhinda have been released on anticipatory bail.

Challan has already been presented. Charges are yet to be framed. So far as another case registered against the petitioner, is concerned, he has been discharged therein, vide order dated 16.02.2021 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Sirsa. The petitioner has been in custody since 03.07.2022. Trial is unlikely to conclude any time soon. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping him behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

21.10.2022

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No