

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(235)

CWP-24949-2021

Date of Decision : November 22, 2022

Ritu

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Narender Singh Behgal, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, the prayer of the petitioner is for re-adjustment as an Extension Lecturer in the subject of Management.

As per the petitioner, despite having requisite qualification, the petitioner was not been re-adjusted, which act on the part of the respondents is contrary to the re-adjustment policy of the Extension Lecturer dated 04.03.2020.

Notice of motion was issued. Though no reply has been filed but learned counsel for the respondents submits that keeping in view the letter received from the Director Higher Education, Haryana, Panchkula dated 22.11.2022, it has been mentioned that the petitioner's case has been considered and she has been found eligible for re-adjustment and will be

adjusted in a College having workload of her subject. Therefore, the claim of the petitioner as raised in the present petition already stands redressed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents be bound to pass appropriate order re-adjusting the petitioner so that the petitioner does not suffer any further prejudice.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that appropriate order re-adjusting the petitioner will be passed within a period of two weeks from the receipt of the copy of this order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the above statement of the learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

November 22, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No