

ASHOK SINGLA

... Petitioner

Versus

CORPORATION BANK

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO,
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR.**Present:** Mr. Vinod Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.Mr. Gaurav Goel, Advocate with
Mr. Tarlok, Advocate for the respondent-Bank.

M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO, J. (ORAL)
CM-9717-2022

This is an application for release of Rs.2,00,000/- deposited by the petitioner in compliance of the order dated 23.09.2019.

The said amount of Rs.2,00,000/- is said to have been deposited by the petitioner pursuant to the order passed by this Court on 23.09.2019. Admittedly the said amount was appropriated by the respondent-Bank on 26.09.2019 and in the request for OTS made by the petitioner on 07.01.2021 offering to settle the loan account for Rs.22,67,446/-, petitioner did not say that this the payment of Rs.2,00,000/- (made pursuant to the interim order passed by this Court) should be treated as an upfront payment for the or part of the said OTS offer. He cannot now turn around, after having paid the entire amount of Rs.22,67,446/- by 30.04.2021, and demand return of Rs.2,00,000/- which was already adjusted on 26.09.2019 by the respondent-Bank.

In view of the above, this application is hereby dismissed.

CWP-27302-2019

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the writ petition has become infructuous.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(M.S. RAMACHANDRA RAO)
JUDGE

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

23.11.2022

Sonia

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No