

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(112)

CWP-23467-2022

Date of Decision : October 14, 2022

Kitabo Devi

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. A.P.S. Sandhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that husband of the petitioner namely Karan Singh died in the year 1995 after which the petitioner was given the family pension. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after 1995, the Pay Commission has recommended revision in the year 1996, thereafter in 2006 and thereafter in 2016 but the benefit of which pay revision including the revision of pension has not been extended to the petitioner and that too without any valid justification as the petitioner is being paid the pension in respect of the pay which the late husband of the petitioner was getting at the time of his death in the year 1995. The prayer of the petitioner is for directing the respondents to suitably revise the family pension of the petitioner in view of the recommendation of the Pay Commission and release the arrears along with interest.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by filing a representation dated 02.07.2022 (Annexure P-3), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 02.07.2022 (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the representation dated 02.07.2022 (Annexure P-3), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to decide the representation dated 02.07.2022 (Annexure P-3) within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

In case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for the monetary benefits after the decision of the representation, the same should also be paid to the petitioner within four weeks thereafter.

October 14, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No