

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-47045-2022

Date of decision:14.11.2022

Dimple

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Vikrant Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is second petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.569 dated 06.12.2020 registered under Sections 387,506,509 and 120-B IPC at Police Station City Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar.

On 14.10.2022, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Inter alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and she is a married lady and had solemnized her marriage on 23.07.2021 and had given birth to a child, who is about 4 months old. It is submitted that there is no telephonic conversation between the petitioner and the family of the complainant and the complainant's family is not known to the petitioner. It is further submitted that the petitioner has been implicated solely on the basis of disclosure statement suffered by Umesh, who is the son-in-law of the complainant and has a dispute with his wife. It is also submitted that in the present case, no injury has been caused to any person and, no ransom was actually taken and even the alleged call, as per the prosecution's case, was made by one Randeep Malik, who has been residing in USA since the last 5-6 years. It is stated that the petitioner is the grand daughter of ex-municipal councillor of Bahadurgarh, who belongs to the opposition party. It is further stated that the petitioner had earlier filed an anticipatory bail application before this Court and had withdrawn the same with liberty to file a fresh petition

after annexing all the relevant documents and the present petition has been filed after annexing all the relevant documents.

Notice of motion for 14.11.2022.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner is ordered to be released on interim bail subject to her furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/ Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(VIKAS BAHL)

October 14, 2022

JUDGE”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the said order, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Ashwani, has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for further investigation.

Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in the order dated 14.10.2022, and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further investigation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 14.10.2022 is made absolute.

Nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail petition.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

14.11.2022

ishwar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No