

205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-52484-2021
Date of Decision: 19.05.2022

Inder @ Ishu

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ramnish Puri, Advocate, for
Mr. Arihant Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, Additional A.G., Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that though the present petition has been filed for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.113, dated 29.05.2020, registered under Sections 341, 307 & 34 of the IPC (Section 120-B of the IPC added later on) and Sections 25, 54 & 59 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Hassanpur, District Palwal, but as the complainant and the injured are yet to be examined by the learned trial Court, therefore, the petitioner does not press the present petition at this stage.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the order 19.04.2022, passed by the learned trial Court, the complainant and the injured are not appearing before the Court, despite being served, and now bailable warrants have been issued against them for 07.06.2022, which

shows that the intention of the complainant and the injured is to delay the proceedings of the present case, so that the petitioner do not seek the concession of bail in this case, and hence, trial Court may kindly be directed to ensure that the complainant and the injured are examined as expeditiously as possible.

Learned State counsel does not raise any objection, in case the aforesaid prayer made on behalf of the petitioner is allowed by this Court.

Keeping in view the above, learned trial Court is directed that in case the complainant and the injured, against whom the bailable warrants have been issued for 07.06.2022, appear before the learned trial Court on the said date, they be examined on the said date positively, otherwise if they do not appear on 07.06.2022 before the trial Court, all the efforts be made by the said Court to secure their presence, by adopting due process as envisaged under the law, and their testimonies be recorded within a period of two months from the next date of hearing fixed before the said Court.

Disposed of in the above terms.

19.05.2022*Apurva***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
2. Whether reportable : No