

**116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
CRWP-9709-2022**

Date of Decision: 30th November, 2022

Jagan Nath

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. A.P.S. Tung, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This is a petition seeking directions to the official respondents to protect life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members from the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 9 (arrayed in the petition).

2. From the pleadings, it is forthcoming that the son of the petitioner is having matrimonial dispute with his wife (respondent No.4). From the perusal of the representation, it is evident that there is dispute amongst the family members with regard to the immovable property for which petitioner has filed a civil suit and an interim order was passed in his favour. The allegations are that *inspite* of the stay order, there was an attempt to dispossess the petitioner.

3. It would not out of place to mention that there is no proof attached with the petition to substantiate that the representation annexed as P-4 was ever submitted. Secondly the allegations are with regard to the violation of the order of civil court for which petitioner has an effective remedy. Neither specific incident with regard to the apprehension of threat is specified in the representation, nor any FIR has been got registered in this regard.

4. No case is made out for interference, the petition is dismissed.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

30th November, 2022

Parveen Sharma

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No