

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRR-2134-2022

Date of decision : 12.10.2022

Sudhir Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Pawan Attri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Dhruv Sihag, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

Challenge in the present revision petition is to the order dated 05.08.2022 passed by the court of Additional Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra vide which the charges have been framed against the present petitioner in the FIR no.274 dated 06.11.2020 under Sections 120-B, 148, 149, 201, 323, 325, 341, 307, 380, 400 and 506 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, after arguing for some time, seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to take up all the points which have been raised in the present petition, during the course of trial in accordance with law. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is a poor person and is a driver and is the sole earner in his family and is residing in District Kaithal whereas the trial is pending in Kurukshetra and thus, prayed that the personal appearance of the petitioner be exempted.

petitioner, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid. The personal appearance of the petitioner before the trial Court is exempted, subject to the following conditions:-

- i) Petitioner shall be represented through his counsel;
- ii) shall not delay/stall the trial proceedings;
- iii) shall not dispute his identity as accused;
- iv) shall have no objection if the prosecution evidence is recorded in his absence but in the presence of his counsel;
- v) shall appear before the trial Court as and when required by the trial Court;
- vi) any other condition which the trial Court may impose.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

October 12, 2022

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No