

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215

CRM-M-51438 of 2021
Date of decision:08.09.2022

Vaishali

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

On 09.12.2021, this Court passed the following order:-

“By way of present petition, the petitioner is seeking grant of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No. 481, dated 11.11.2021, under Sections 354, 354-D, 120-B, 506 of IPC, Sections 12, 17 of the POCSO Act, 2012 and Section 67A of the IT Act, registered at Police Station Baldev Nagar, District Ambala (annexed as Annexure P-2).

While drawing the attention of this Court to the allegations levelled in the FIR in question, he submits that the only role attributed to the petitioner in the FIR in question, is that she circulated a photograph of the victim with co-accused

Arun, on WhatsApp and sent the same to the mother of the victim. Learned counsel submits that other than the aforementioned, there were no other allegations levelled in the FIR in question and the main accused Arun, who allegedly indulged in obscene behaviour including eve-teasing the victim, already stood arrested.

Notice of motion for 06.04.2022.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Let a copy of the complete paper book be supplied to the learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join investigation and appear before the investigating agency as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Upon instructions from Inspector/SHO Gaurav Kumar, State counsel submits that petitioner has joined the investigation, recovery of phone has been effected from her and she is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above facts, but without commenting on the merits of the case, present petition is allowed and order dated 09.12.2021 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

September 08, 2022

savita

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/Reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>