

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.207

Civil Writ Petition No.25105 of 2021

Date of Decision : April 18, 2022

Ismile Khan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mohd. Salim, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, AAG, Punjab,
for respondents No.1 to 3.

None for respondent No.4.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is aggrieved because his application for including the name of his wife in the passport is not being processed allegedly on the ground of pendency of an FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide communication dated 17.07.2021 (Annexure P-9), the police has communicated to the concerned authorities that they have no objection in case the application for correction of particulars in the passport is allowed. Thus, the Passport Authorities should not have any objection in making the necessary entries.

Learned State counsel submits that the name of the petitioner has been kept in column No.2 and no challan has been presented against him.

In view of the above, there should be no impediment in proceeding with the application for correction of particulars.

The writ petition is allowed and respondent No.4 is directed to include the name of the spouse of the petitioner in the passport on the basis of necessary documents already submitted.

April 18, 2022
Ankur

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No