

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(122)

CWP-23268-2022

Date of Decision : October 11, 2022

Sunita Devi

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner has been transferred vide order dated 16.09.2022 (Annexure P-4) and that too by violating the provisions of the transfer policy, hence, the said transfer of the petitioner is totally arbitrary and illegal and the same is liable to be set aside.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the mother of the petitioner has already represented qua the said transfer by filing a representation on 07.10.2022, a copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-6 with this petition and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana,

who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 07.10.2022 (Annexure P-6) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the representation dated 07.10.2022 (Annexure P-6), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to **respondent No.2** to decide the representation dated 07.10.2022 (Annexure P-6) within a period of three weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

October 11, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No