

Rajiv Kumar Dagar and another

...Petitioners

versus

A.K. Singh and Ors.

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Gopal Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Pankaj Kundra, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 to 4.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 28.05.2019 in CWP No.14517 of 2019 in case titled as Rajiv Kumar Dagar and another vs. The State of Haryana and others.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP No.14517 of 2019 was disposed of by directing the respondents to consider and decide the representation filed by the petitioners by passing a speaking order as expeditiously as possible preferably within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[3] Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent Nos.2 to 4 has filed reply by way of affidavit of Sh. Jitender Yadav, Administrator, Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran, Gurugram along with speaking order Annexure R/5 dated 05.11.2019 rejecting the claim of the petitioners. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to

learned counsel for the petitioners, who on perusal thereof states that in the circumstances, the petitioners do not wish to pursue the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to challenge order Annexure R/5 dated 05.11.2019 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[4] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioners as prayed for.

[5] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

18.05.2022

'Amit'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>