

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23699-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.10.2022

M/s Bee Cee Steel Rolling Mills, Mandi Gobindgarh

... Petitioner

V/s.

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present : Mr. Pardhuman Garg, Advocate and
Ms. Larish Setia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurpreet Singh, Addl. A. G., Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner informs the Court that a reply-cum-representation against the impugned notice dated 05.09.2022 has already been filed. The detailed reply is dated 15.09.2022 and stands appended at Annexure P-2.

The short grievance raised is that till date the same is still pending and no final view has been taken thereupon.

We find that an alternative prayer is also raised in the instant petition calling upon the respondents to decide reply-cum-representation dated 15.09.2022 (Annexure P-2) in a time bound manner and by passing a speaking and reasoned order.

Since an advance copy of the writ paper-book had already been served upon the respondent, Mr. Gupreet Singh, Addl. A. G., Punjab on instructions from Mr. Charanjeet Singh (ETO, Fatehgarh Sahib), makes a statement that the reply-cum-representation dated 15.09.2022 at Annexure P-2 would be considered in accordance with law and a final decision thereupon would be taken within a period of six weeks from today after affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the authorised representative of the petitioner unit.

Statement is accepted.

No further directions are required to be passed.

Disposed of.

It is clarified that we have disposed of the writ petition without even ascertaining the correctness of the averments made therein as also without going into the merits of the case.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

17.10.2022
seema

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes /No</i>