

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

213

CRM-M-47243-2022

Date of Decision: 10.11.2022

DICI RAM

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA

... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate for the petitioner

Sandeep Moudgil, J. (Oral)

This is a petition filed by the petitioner seeking regular bail in FIR No.150, dated 29.03.2022, under Section 420, 467, 468, 471, 201, 120-B IPC; Sections 66/66-C/66-D of the Information and Technology (Amendment) Act, 2008 and Sections 35/36 of the Haryana Parivar Pehchan Act, 2020, registered at Police Station Civil Lines Sirsa, District Sirsa (Annexure P1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that the co-accused, Manpreet Singh and Gobind, in the present FIR have already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court in **CRM-M-46822-2022 (Manpreet Singh vs. State of Haryana) decided on 09.11.2022** and **CRM-M-46802-2022 (Gobind vs. State of Haryana) decided on 09.11.2022** and thus, the petitioner claims parity with the above-said co-accused.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has filed custody certificate of the petitioner today in the Court and the same is taken on record. According to the custody certificate, the petitioner is already behind bars for the last 5 months and 20 days and no other case is pending against the petitioner.

Looking at the totality of facts and circumstances and in view of the fact that the conclusion of the trial is likely to take long time, therefore, no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars, the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Illaqa Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The present petition is, therefore, allowed.

10.11.2022

V.Vishal

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned?
- 2. Whether reportable?

(Sandeep Moudgil)
Judge

Yes/No
Yes/No