

214

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.50979 of 2021 (O&M)
Decided on: 23.05.2022

Sheetal Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Paras Talwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR
No.74 dated 13.06.2021 registered under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC
at Police Station Phase-11, District S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali.

The operative part of the order dated 07.12.2021, vide
which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is
reproduced as under:-

*“....Learned senior counsel for the petitioner has
argued that as per the allegations in the FIR, the
complainant has deposited some amount in the travel
agency by the name Child Abroad Education and some
amount in the account of the petitioner, who was
admittedly an employee of the said company. It is further
submitted that in a similar manner, the petitioner is
involved in some other FIRs and he has been granted the
concession of regular bail.*

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner has relied

upon the order dated 25.01.2021 passed in CRM-M No.2664 of 2021, wherein noticing the fact that the petitioner, is an employee of the said company, has been granted the concession of bail after undergoing the incarceration of about 05 months. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner has lastly, submitted that he has the instructions to say that the petitioner has received Rs.16.00 lacs in his personal account and to show his bona fide, he will deposit the said amount of Rs.16.00 lacs within a period of 02 months from today.

Notice of motion....”

Counsel for the petitioner has handed over 02 demand drafts of Rs.8.00 lacs each, favouring Amandeep Sodhi and Jaswinder Sapri, to counsel for the complainant, in the Court.

A photocopy of the same is taken on record as Mark X.

Counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 07.12.2021, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Daulat Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 07.12.2021 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

23.05.2022
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No