

282

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.51082 of 2021 (O&M)
Decided on: 03.03.2022

Arun Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Vishal Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.10 dated 22.01.2021 registered under Sections 379-B, 325, 427, 201, 120-B IPC at Police Station Julkan, District Patiala.

The operative part of the order dated 07.12.2021, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“....Counsel for the petitioner has argued that the petitioner is a first offender and he has been nominated in the case on the basis of the disclosure statement of the co-accused Gurpreet Singh @ Gopi and Amandeep Singh. It is further submitted that the recovery has already been effected and nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner and he is ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance

CASE HEARD THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING

to the order dated 07.12.2021, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Lakhvir Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 07.12.2021 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

03.03.2022

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No