

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

136

CWP-23238-2022

Date of Decision:-10.10.2022

Imran

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Farukh Abdullah, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A. G., Haryana.

RITU BAHRI, J.(Oral)

Learned State counsel referred to the order dated 27.09.2022 wherein in writ petition No. 22378 of 2022, when this case was taken up, a mistake was found in the reservation of scheduled caste and the mistake was corrected and thereafter, this order had been passed and as per Section 9 of the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 read with Rule 6 of the Haryana Panchayati Raj Rules, 1994 and as per the abovesaid provision, village Rithat at serial No. 36 has to be reserved for women being an even number ward. This mistake has been omitted by the respondent while reserving the same ward for women.

Disposed of.

**(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE**

October 10, 2022
Mehak

**(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE**