

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 9661 of 2022

Date of Decision: 14th October, 2022

Gurpreet Singh

Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. L. S. Mann, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. APS Tung, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This is a petition seeking directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner.

The grievance is that no action has been taken on representation dated 12.9.2022.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State who is appearing on advance notice.

From the representation, it is forthcoming that there is an allegation with regard to an incident that took place on 30.8.2022. It is alleged that occupants of two vehicles came to the house of the petitioner and gave beatings to the petitioner and his servant and thereafter dragged him in an attempt to take him in the car.

Learned counsel for the State appearing on advance notice on instructions submits that the petitioner is a travel agent, he is involved in eleven FIRs and till date, he has not approached the police station despite being called by the Investigating officer and present petition is filed as pressure tactic.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner approached the police authorities for registering an FIR.

From the perusal of the petition, it is forthcoming that neither there is pleading nor any document is attached to show that any attempt

was made to get a FIR registered for an incident made basis for alleged apprehension of threat.

As per the representation, the beatings were given to the petitioner and his servant by fifteen persons but no Medico-Legal Report is attached. Certain photographs and pen drive have been annexed with the petition. This court may not go into the veracity of these annexures as the authenticity and certification is not proved. Apart from making bald statement in the representation, there is no *prima facie* proof of the alleged incident or threat perception. As rightly contended by learned counsel for the State, this petition is being used as a pressure tactic to put a spanner in the FIRs involving the petitioner.

The petition is dismissed.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

14th October, 2022
mk

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |