

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 9668 of 2022

Date of Decision: 11th October, 2022

Sonu Kumari and another

Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Vishal Mittal, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. APS Tung, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This petition is filed seeking directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents (arrayed in the petition) as they have solemnised marriage against the wishes of their parents.

Learned counsel for the State appearing on advance notice on instructions submits that on receiving the representation, efforts were made to contact the petitioners, their phones were switched off. He further submits that they were neither physically available nor approached the police authorities.

In view of the statement of counsel for the State, learned counsel for the petitioners does not press the present petition and seeks liberty that the petitioners will approach the police authorities.

Dismissed as not pressed.

There is no doubt that in eventuality of petitioners approaching the police authorities, the needful in accordance with law would be done expeditiously.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

11th October, 2022

mk

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No