

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

222

**CRM-M-51367-2021  
Decided on : 09.02.2022**

Nitin Dalal

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

**(Through Video Conferencing)**

**PRESENT:** Mr. Anirudh Singh Shera, Advocate  
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Nagar Singh, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (Oral)**

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No. 0018, dated 11.02.2021, under Sections 323, 34, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC, registered at Women Police Station, District Sonapat (annexed as Annexure P-1) and all the consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 19.11.2021 (annexed as Annexure P-3), arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it was on account of a matrimonial dispute between the parties, the FIR in question came into existence, however, subsequently, the parties arrived at an amicable settlement vide compromise dated 19.11.2021 (Annexure P-3). Learned counsel has also submitted that a joint petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, for dissolution of marriage by way of mutual consent, stands filed, wherein, the first motion statements of both the petitioner and respondent No.2 stand recorded.

Vide order dated 09<sup>th</sup> December, 2021, of this Court, the parties

were directed to appear before the learned Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court on 07<sup>th</sup> January, 2022, to get their statements recorded, regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

Report has since been received from the learned JMIC, Sonipat, in pursuance to the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original along with its report.

Learned State counsel too submits that there is no other accused other than the petitioner and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the learned learned JMIC, Sonipat, and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and in *Narinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2014(2) R.C.R. (Criminal) 482*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

**February 09, 2022**

*J.Ram*

*Whether speaking/reasoned:*      *Yes/No*  
*Whether Reportable:*              *Yes/No*