

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**FAO No.3505 of 2018
Date of Decision:-06.12.2022**

Jagdeep Kaur and others

...Appellants

Versus

Ravinder Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Viney Saini, Advocate for
Mr. G.S. Nagra, Advocate
for the appellants.

NIDHI GUPTA J.(Oral)

Vide this appeal, the appellants/claimants are impugning the Award dated 07.12.2017 passed by the MACT, Ropar, whereby their claim petition has been dismissed.

Learned counsel for the appellants/claimants is unable to show how the Tribunal is in error in dismissing the claim petition as per the following facts, which remained uncontroverted :-

1. Admittedly, Gurnam Singh, driver of the tractor, in which the deceased and one Surjit Singh were seated, made a supplementary statement two months after the date of incident which is 06.12.2015, wherein the name of respondents No.1 and 2 being the driver and owner of the alleged offending vehicle being car bearing registration No.PB-12-X-4478 was

incorporated. However, during cross examination, said Gurnam Singh has not been able to explain how he got the number of the car two months after the incident. Even this fact has not been mentioned by the appellants in their claim petition. It is further the testimony of the said Gurnam Singh that there were many persons assembled at the place where the incident took place, however, no witness has been forthcoming and no one has seen the accident.

2. The appellants have also not examined Surjit Singh, the third passenger on the tractor, on which the deceased was travelling and there is no averment as to what injuries Surjit Singh had received.

Accordingly, I find no merits in the present petition, hence, the same is hereby dismissed.

December 06, 2022
Vijay Asija

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No