

238 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-9616-2022

Date of Decision: 12th December, 2022

Manoj Garg and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Union Territory Chandigarh and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr.LM Gulati, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, APP, UT Chandigarh.
Mr. Ashwani Bhardwaj, Advocate for respondent No. 4.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

This petition is filed seeking directions to the official respondents to protect life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents.

Learned State Counsel has filed affidavit of Sh. Kuldeep Singh Chahal, IPS, SSP, UT Chandigarh, the same is taken on record. The relevant paragraph No. 8 is reproduced below :-

'8. That, however, the complaint is at initial stage and police is going to file the same as nothing has been found happened in the jurisdiction of South Division as the execution of agreement to sell as well as all the transaction and dealings pertaining to property in question took place at Baddi, HP. However, the complainant has been apprised to pursue his matter at concerned police station in Baddi, HP.'

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the contents of the reply, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present petition.

Petition is disposed of.

Needless to say that the petitioners would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for redressal of the surviving grievance, if any.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

12th December, 2022

anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No