

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

238

CRM-M-46574-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.11.2022

NARINDER KAUR @ MANPREET KAUR

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, AAG Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

Present petition has been filed for directing respondent No.1 not to falsely implicate the petitioner and further directing the respondents not to harass the petitioner and her family members and for taking action against the police officials of Police Station Tripri Patiala for forcibly trespassing into the house of the petitioner and abducting/illegally detaining her minor daughter.

No one had appeared on behalf of the petitioner on the last date of hearing. Same is the position today.

Notice of motion in this case was issued on 04.10.2022 pursuant to which reply dated 10.11.2022 by way of affidavit of Jaswinder Singh Tiwana, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, City-II, District Patiala had been filed.

Learned State counsel refers to paras 2 and 3 of the reply which read thus:-

2.That it is respectfully submitted that answering respondent called the S.H.O. of P.S. Tripuri, District Patiala at his office alongwith all the concerned record to verify the facts of the matter. After the perusal of the official record and as per the facts disclosed by the S.H.O. of P.S. Tripuri, no such visit on 01.10.2022 as alleged by the petitioner in Para No. 3 of the petition, was ever made by the police of P.S. Tripuri. S.H.O. Of

P.S. Tripuri further stated that no case of any nature is registered against the petitioner or her husband Amrik Singh in Police Station Tripuri, as such, there is no reason as to conduct raid or to get the whereabouts of the petitioner or her husband.

3. That pursuant to the perusal of facts disclosed by S.H.O. of P.S. Tripuri, answering respondent called for status report from the S.H.O. of P.S. Civil Lines, District Patiala, who in his status report disclosed that on 01.10.2022, Amrik Singh (husband of the petitioner) managed to escape from the custody of the Jail Wardens during his treatment at Rajindra Hospital, Patiala and as such case/FIR No. 208 dated 01.10.2022 U/s 223, 224, 467, 473, 120-B of Indian Penal Code was registered at P.S. Civil Lines, District Patiala against Amrik Singh (husband of petitioner) and the jail wardens. During the course of investigation, as many as 17 people, including the present petitioner, were nominated in the case/FIR No. 208, out of which, 11 accused were arrested and the remaining accused (including petitioner and her husband Amrik Singh) are yet to arrested. He further stated that the case was pending investigation.

In view of the aforesaid, learned State counsel submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed in the present case.

Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to avail of alternate remedy, available to him, in accordance with law.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

November 22, 2022

S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No