

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

120

**CRWP No.9614 of 2022
Date of Decision:7.10.2022**

Anika Lulu Colles and another

---Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

---Respondents

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Amit Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL (ORAL)

The petitioners, through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India are seeking protection of life and liberty as guaranteed by Article 21 of the Constitution of India.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Amit Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3-State. Service of notice to the private respondent is dispensed with as no adverse order is passed against them.

As per petition and documents enclosed therewith i.e. copy of passport issued by Passport Authorities, Australia, petitioner-Anika Lulu Colles is aged 38 years and as per Aadhar Card, petitioner No.2-Sartnamsingh is aged 28 years. The petitioners are major and they have got married on 1.8.2022. In support of their contention, the petitioners have enclosed marriage certificate dated

District Sirmour (H.P.)

The petitioners have made a representation dated 21.9.2022 (Annexure P-5) to Superintendent of Police, Ambala seeking protection of life and liberty. The petitioners have no apprehension of causing any injury on the part of the police or other Government authorities whereas the petitioners are claiming that there is apprehension that relatives of the petitioners may cause injury and put their lives to endanger.

While dealing with interference in conjugal life, the Apex Court in **Lata Singh versus State of U.P. (2006) 5 SCC 475** has held:-

“The caste system is a curse on the nation and the sooner it is destroyed the better. In fact, it is dividing the nation at a time when we have to be united to face the challenges before the nation unitedly. Hence, inter-caste marriages are in fact in the national interest as they will result in destroying the caste system. However, disturbing news are coming from several parts of the country that young men and women who undergo inter-caste marriage, are threatened with violence, or violence is actually committed on them. In our opinion, such acts of violence or threats or harassment are wholly illegal and those who commit them must be severely punished. This is a free and democratic country, and once a person becomes a major he or she can marry whosoever he/she likes. If the parents of the boy or girl do not approve of such inter-caste or inter-religious marriage the maximum they can do is that they can cut off social relations with the son or the daughter, but they cannot give threats or commit or instigate acts of violence and cannot harass the person who undergoes such inter-caste or interreligious marriage. We, therefore, direct that the administration/police authorities throughout the country will see to it that if any boy or girl who is a major undergoes inter-caste or interreligious marriage with a woman or man who is a major, the couple are not harassed by any one nor subjected to threats or acts of violence, and any one who gives such threats or harasses or commits acts of violence either himself or at his instigation, is taken to task by instituting criminal proceedings by the police against such persons and further stern action is taken against such persons as provided by law”.

In terms of the Hon'ble Supreme Court observations, the official respondents are directed to examine the representation of the petitioners and to ensure protection while appreciating the fact that there is threat to their life. This order shall not validate the marriage of the couple in any manner.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

07.10.2022
paramjit

Whether	Yes
speaking/ reasoned	
Whether Reportable	Yes/No