

**290+149 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-5894-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.11.2022

Vidya Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

Superintending Engineer and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None for the petitioner

Ms.Urvashi Bugga, Advocate for
respondent no.1 and 2

Mr. Gaurav Mohunta, Advocate for respondent no.4

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

This revision petition was filed challenging the correctness of the orders passed by the courts below, while deciding the application for grant of temporary injunction under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.

Respondent no.1 and 2 have filed an application (CM-16095-CII-2022) for permission to place on record the final judgment passed by the trial court, while deciding the suit.

Hence, CM-16095-CII-2022 is allowed. In view of the decision of the suit, the present revision petition is rendered infructuous and the same is dismissed as such.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

21.11.2022

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**