

203.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-50807-2021

Date of Decision: 10.01.2022

(Heard through VC)

AKASHDEEP SINGH

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.428, dated 13.10.2021, registered under Sections 147/148/323/324/506 read with Section 149 IPC at Police Station Sadar Sirsa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner has not been named in the FIR. He has been subsequently involved in the case on account of political rivalry. It is further argued that the offences under Sections 285/379-B IPC as well as Section 25 of the Arms Act initially included in the FIR already stand deleted and, therefore, prays for grant of anticipatory bail.

Learned State counsel would submit that the FIR has been registered on an allegation made by the complainant that he was attacked by

a group of 20/25 unknown persons with sword, datar and dandas. One of the accused persons attacked on his back with rod and two persons attacked on his left hand and abdomen with sword and the rest of the persons gave him beatings with dandas. It is argued that the complainant herein tried to save his life and rushed into a nearby Tata Motors Agency but the accused also entered the Agency and subjected him to beatings. It is further submitted that CCTV footage is available in which the petitioner herein is shown armed with sword and, therefore, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner would be required to establish the identity of other assailants.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and in the facts and circumstances of the case as have been revealed by the State counsel, find no ground for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner as his custodial interrogation would be required for a proper investigation.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

10.01.2022

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No