

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.50817 of 2021
Date of Decision :18.04.2022**

Surinder Singh @ Shinda Singh @ Sinda and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE

Present : Mr.P.S.Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, A.A.G.Punjab
assisted by ASI Balkar Singh.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral):

Vide this petition under Section 438 of Cr.P.C. the petitioners pray for anticipatory bail in FIR No.203 dated 22.09.2021, under Sections 306 and 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station City Sunam, District Sangrur.

Upon hearing the learned counsel for the petitioners on 06.12.2021, this Court had enlarged the petitioners on interim bail:-

“The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in a case registered vide FIR No.203, dated 22.9.2021, Police Station City Sunam, District Sangrur, under Sections 306, 34 IPC.

The FIR was lodged at the instance of Rani Kaur wherein it is alleged that marriage of her son Akashdeep was solemnized with Rekha, daughter of the petitioners, but there was some kind of matrimonial discord amongst them and on account of which they had been threatening the complainant's son. It is alleged that on 21.9.2021 at about 7-8 pm., the petitioners came to the house of the complainant and had a heated altercation with complainant's son Akashdeep and also gave beatings to him and insulted him. It is alleged that later the complainant's son, who felt insulted, committed suicide.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that it is a case of some kind of matrimonial discord and that even if the

allegations as levelled in the FIR are taken to be correct, it cannot be said that the petitioners had abetted the commission of suicide by complainant's son Akashdeep.

Learned counsel has further submitted that the FIR came to be lodged under some misunderstanding which has now been resolved and the parties have amicably settled the matter which stands duly compromised.

Notice of motion for 18.4.2022.

At this stage, Mr. Mayank Dev Singh, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed power of attorney.

Learned counsel for the complainant has endorsed the factum of compromise and has submitted that he has no objection in case the petitioners are granted bail.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioners be released on interim bail subject to their furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Arresting/Investigating Officer and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. ”

Learned State counsel submits that pursuant to the order dated 06.12.2021 (ibid) the petitioners had joined the investigation and are no longer required for any custodial interrogation.

In the wake of the above, the order dated 06.12.2021, is made absolute.

However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition is accordingly disposed of.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

18.04.2022
Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No