

111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9550-2022

Date of Decision: 04.10.2022

SANJAY KUMAR AND ANOTHER ...Petitioners
Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Abhinav Narang, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have approached this Court under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for seeking protection of their lives and personal liberty from respondents No.4 to 6.

The contention of learned counsel for the petitioners is that both are major, aged above 22 years and above 31 years respectively. In support thereof, copies of Aadhaar Cards pertaining to the petitioners have been appended. The learned counsel for petitioners further contends that they have performed marriage against the wishes of their relatives. The marriage of the petitioners was solemnized on 26.09.2022 as per Hindu rites and ceremonies. Copy of photographs of marriage (Annexure P-6) and Marriage Certificate (Annexure P-5) have also been appended. The petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and liberty and claim that there is a constant danger of being implicated in a false case.

Notice of motion restricted to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Pursuant to supply of advance copy, Mr.Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that a representation dated 27.09.2022 (Annexure P-7) has also been sent by the petitioners to the

respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Sirsa.

Learned State counsel has no objection if appropriate directions are given for deciding the representation submitted by the petitioners.

Without examining the question of legality and validity of the marriage and expressing any opinion thereon, the petition is disposed of with the directions to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Sirsa, to look into the grievances of the petitioners as set out in the petition and also expressed in the representation (Annexure P-7) and take appropriate action for protection of their lives and liberty as may be warranted by the circumstances.

However, it is clarified that in case any criminal case has been/is registered against the petitioners nothing in this order shall be construed as a bar for taking appropriate action by the police authorities in respect thereof in accordance with law.

The Registry is directed to send a copy of this order along with copy of the petition to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Sirsa, for requisite compliance.

The petition is disposed of.

04.10.2022
dharamvir

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No