

101+207

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-40252-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 26.04.2022**

Prabhjot Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. G.S. Salana, Advocate,
for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Davindr Bir Singh, DAG, Punjab.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

CRM-8433-2022

The present application has been filed for placing on record
Annexures A-1 to A-9.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is
allowed and the accompanying annexures are taken on record, subject to all
just exceptions.

CRM-M-40252-2019

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the
Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.101, dated
11.12.2018, under Sections 420, 406, 465, 467, 468, 471 & 120-B of the
IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Badali Alla Singh, District Fatehgarh
Sahib.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that

the allegations in the FIR were pertaining to default of loan from the SBI Branch of Brass and during the course of proceedings in the present case, the petitioner has now paid the entire loan back to the complainant by way of one time settlement and regarding which he has placed on record 'No Due Certificate' dated 15.06.2020 vide Annexure A-4. He further submitted that the entire amount has been paid to the State Bank of India and even otherwise also as per the orders passed by this Court on 20.09.2019, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and he has cooperated with the investigation process and has, therefore, prayed that the interim bail granted to the petitioner may be made absolute.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, learned DAG, Punjab, on instructions from S.I. Balbir Singh has stated that it is correct that in pursuance of the orders passed by this Court on 20.09.2019, the petitioner has joined the investigation and has cooperated with the investigation process. He further submitted that he has a specific instruction to say that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the aforesaid factual position as well as the stand taken by the learned State Counsel, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 20.09.2019 is hereby made absolute.

26.04.2022*Bhumika***(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable:	Yes/No