

COCp-2653-2021

Date of Decision : 13.01.2022

Reema @ Rajni Bala and others

...Petitioners

versus

Sangina Sharma

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Raj Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

2. At the outset, learned counsel contends that the respondent has, in January, 2022, complied with order dated 22.09.2021, in FAO-1191-2021, and in view thereof, the petitioners do not wish to pursue the contempt petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

3. I have considered the submission of learned counsel for the petitioners and have also gone over the paper book.

4. Admittedly, vide order dated 22.09.2021, while issuing notice of motion in FAO-1191-2021, it was ordered that in the eventuality of the Insurance Company depositing Rs.18 Lakh plus interest @ 7.5% per annum along with costs in the Tribunal within three weeks, recovery of the remaining amount would remain stayed and the Tribunal may disburse the amount awarded, between the claimants proportionately. Pursuant thereto, the amount has been deposited by the respondent before the Tribunal in terms of order dated 22.09.2021 though beyond the period of

within three weeks from 22.09.2021 was that there would be no stay of recovery. No contempt as such is made out. Accordingly, in view of the position noted above, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any orders under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

13.01.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No