

213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-46483-2022
Date of Decision: 12.12.2022

VIVEK ... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB ... RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Ms. Ramandeep Kaur , Advocate for
Mr. Varinder Basa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Iqbal Singh Mann, DAG Punjab.

* * * *

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

On 11.10.2022 following order was passed:

“Present petition is under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for seeking anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.48 dated 17.03.2020, registered under Section 354 IPC,1860 at Police Station City Batala, District Batala, Gurdaspur.

Briefly, the allegations against the petitioner are to the effect that he had inappropriately touched the complainant who is aged about 25 years when she had entered the room for getting her x-ray done.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the occurrence took place about 2 ½ years ago and the dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Adjourned to 05.12.2022.

Meanwhile, it is directed that in the event of arrest, the petitioner be admitted on interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer subject to the condition that he joins the investigation and comply with other conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner

has joined the investigation in pursuance of the interim directions issued by

this Court.

Learned State counsel has not disputed the aforesaid factual aspect and has stated that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 11.10.2022 is made absolute.

12.12.2022

Janki

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No